

Can We End the HIV Epidemic in Our Lifetime?

Kevin Fenton, MD, PhD, FFPH National Director of Health and Wellbeing

Public Health England

TASP Conference 2013



Much progress has been made in the fight against HIV

- Full and detailed understanding of the **disease pathogenesis** and epidemiologic transmission dynamics
- **Diagnostic tests** developed to protect the blood supply and to identify those infected.
- Range of effective prevention methods identified and implemented, including risk-reduction programs, counseling and testing, condom distribution, and needle-exchange programs.
- With the discovery of **highly active antiretroviral therapy** in the mid-1990s, rates of death in developed countries started to decline with reductions in MTCT of HIV.



Note: PMTCT, Screening transfusions, Harm reduction, Universal precautions, etc. have not been included – this is focused on reducing sexual transmission



Urgent threats and realities: Challenges in the global HIV/AIDS response

- More than 34 million persons are still living with HIV infection globally
- Only 60% of sex workers, 46% of IDUs, and 40% of MSM were reached by HIV-prevention programs in 2008 (UNAIDS)
- HIV incidence rising again in several countries. In 2011, less than 25% of PLWHIV had access to ARTs or had virologic suppression
- Many lower-income countries are still almost entirely dependent on international aid, which has declined in recent years
- Now seeing an increase in the need for care for chronic diseases among persons with HIV infection



So can we end the HIV epidemic within our lifetime?

- Any movement towards HIV elimination or eradication must be based on a realistic assessment of our track record with eliminating other infectious diseases.
- Lessons from current or past elimination programmes may help us understand the acceptability and feasibility of attempts to end the HIV epidemic within our lifetime. Syphilis and TB provide good exemplars.
- As we have learned with other infectious diseases, having an effective vaccine, treatment and a cure are necessary but by themselves are insufficient for ending an epidemic



Syphilis—Reported Cases by Stage of Infection, United States, 1941–2011





US Syphilis Elimination Effort

- US Plan to Eliminate Syphilis launched in October 1999
- Disease elimination (defined as "controlling the manifestations of a disease so that it is no longer considered a public health problem" or as "reduction to zero of a specified disease in a defined geographic area as a result of deliberate efforts") was considered plausible for the U.S. both because of historically low rates of infection and restriction to a limited number of geographic areas, as well as cheap and effective diagnostic tests and therapy.
- Disease eradication (defined as the "permanent reduction to zero of the worldwide incidence of infection") was not considered feasible because of high rates of syphilis in many parts of the world.



US Syphilis Elimination Effort

ACCOMPLISHMENTS

- Reductions in disease incidence
- Additional Investment
- Increased awareness about and knowledge of syphilis
- Improved partnerships with communities
- Expanded access to STD healthcare
- Improved partnerships between HIV and STD programs

CHALLENGES

- Changing disease epidemiology
- Changing risk behavior among MSM
- Drug addiction and abuse
- Syphilis and HIV interaction
- Inadequate access to healthcare
- Partners services
- Changing socio-economic contexts
- Funding, Contracts, and Grant Guidance



Primary and Secondary Syphilis — Rates by Sex and Male-to-Female Rate Ratios, United States, 1990-2011





Lessons learned from the US SEE

- Integrate syphilis elimination with other STD/HIV programmes
- Good surveillance is the cornerstone of disease elimination.
 Apply local surveillance and research data to develop strategies
- Local syphilis elimination activities should be flexible enough to respond to rapidly evolving epidemics.
- Adopt a more holistic approach which takes into consideration the social determinants of disease transmission.
- Provide good **quality STD services**. Quality STD services and good surveillance are key to syphilis elimination.



TB Elimination in the U.S.

 With improved socio-economic conditions and the development of effective drugs, TB cases counts had fallen off dramatically by the 1980s

 Actions to achieve that goal centred on making better use of existing TB prevention and control methods; creating and evaluating new tools for diagnosing, treating, and preventing TB; and rapidly applying these tools to clinical and public health practice.

Reported TB Cases United States, 1982–2012*



*Updated as of June 10, 2013.







Challenges to TB Elimination in the U.S.

- The plan's implementation was set back by the unexpected resurgence of TB in the mid 1980s and early 1990s fuelled by several converging factors:
 - the onset of the HIV epidemic
 - increases in TB cases among foreign-born persons
 - outbreaks in congregate settings (e.g., hospitals, correctional facilities)
 - delays in recognizing the appearance and transmission of deadly, drugresistant TB strains that defy traditional treatments.
- At the same time, premature **cuts in TB funding** and the subsequent deterioration of TB programmes impaired the response
- The disease also **retreated into localized areas** and "hard to reach" population groups posing threat for yet another widespread comeback.



Lessons learned from TB elimination

- The **global TB epidemic** remains an important source of new U.S. cases in the person of immigrants and refugees
- Screening and treatment efforts drove progress however elimination will require better tests and treatments, plus an improved vaccine
- Changes in the organization, delivery, and financing of health care challenge the contexts for TB control and elimination
- Progress hampered by inefficient and outdated diagnostic tools and methods to optimise the use of new technology being evaluated



Ending the HIV epidemic in our lifetime Lessons from elimination programmes?

- **Epidemiology:** Generally elimination programmes considered when disease incidence is low or declining; effective treatment; diagnostic tools; infrastructure.
- Leadership:Support broad-based efforts for HIV prevention and control at national, state, and local levels. Recommit to the global battle against HIV
- **Plan**: National strategic plans for elimination based on local surveillance/ programme evaluation data and targeted locally
- **Prioritise**: Programmes should ensure the effective implementation of the highest priority activities diagnosing; contact investigation; test and treatment.



Ending the HIV epidemic in our lifetime Lessons from elimination programmes?

- **Response**: Ensure timely responses to outbreaks or case clusters and make available adequate laboratory services and medical consultation for local areas with low or declining disease rates but inadequate expertise or infrastructure.
- **Partnerships**: Establish new strategic partnerships and reach new stakeholders
- **Research**: Enhance the use of current tools for HIV prevention and control and develop new tools for elimination
- Sustainable endgame strategy: How will the infrastructure for elimination and eradication be repurposed to help advance other health priorities and goals



Conclusions

- Great progress has been made in the global response to the AIDS epidemic, but these achievements are fragile
- Lessons from the control and elimination of other infectious diseases teach us to be ambitious, pragmatic and yet humble
- Global and national leadership, focus, sufficient and sustained resources, robust monitoring systems are needed with special attention to the most marginalised
- Even if elimination is not achievable in our lifetime, the energy passion and dedication from an elimination effort will undoubtedly help accelerate our prevention efforts



Thank you

Kevin Fenton, MD, PhD, FFPH National Director of Health and Wellbeing Public Health England

Twitter: @ProfKevinFenton