

Impact of Text-Messaging (SMS) Programs for Improving Antiretroviral Adherence in Kenya

Anik Patel¹, Richard Lester¹, Scott Braithwaite², Jason Kessler² Kim Nucifora², Mia van der Kop^{1,3}, Carlo Marra¹

1. University of British Columbia, Canada 2. New York University, USA 3. Karolinska Institutet, Sweden

Acknowledgements

<u>University of British Columbia</u> Richard Lester Carlo Marra Mia van der Kop Zafar Zafari

Funding provided by the National Institute of Mental Health



New York University Scott Braithwaite Jason Kessler Kim Nucifora Chris Toohey Mark Roberts

<u>University of North Carolina</u> Harsha Thirumurthy

HIV treatment and care issues

- ≥ 90% of doses of ART supports optimum viral suppression and health outcomes
- Studies suggest adherence remains suboptimal in many areas of Africa
- 62% retention rate at 24 months after ART initiation



* Rosen et al. *PLoS Medicine* 2007
Simoni et al. *Current Infectious Disease Reports* 2008
Barnighausen et al. *Lancet infectious disease* 2011
UNAIDS 2012
Kaiser Family Foundation

Care with mobile phones (mhealth)





*http://www.scidev.net (citing a Price Waterhouse Coopers Report)

mHealth adherence evidence

Horvath T et al. Mobile phone text messaging for promoting adherence to antiretroviral therapy in patients with HIV infection. Cochrane Database Systematic Review. 2012

Pooled adherence effect of weekly SMS compared to standard care:

	Text-messaging		Standard care			Risk Ratio (Non-event)	Risk Ratio (Non-event)		
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, Fixe	d, 95% Cl	
Lester 2010	168	273	132	265	57.0%	0.77 [0.63, 0.93]			
Pop-Eleches 2011	117	221	56	139	43.0%	0.79 [0.65, 0.96]	-		
Total (95% CI)		494		404	100.0%	0.78 [0.68, 0.89]	•		
Total events	285		188						
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0.04, df = 1 (P = 0.84); l ² = 0%							10	100	
Test for overall effect: Z = 3.61 (P = 0.0003)					We	ekly text-messaging	Standard care	100	

Economic evaluation

- No cost-effectiveness analysis of adherence improving interventions
- Aim is to maximize health per dollar spent under conditions of budget constraint
- Objective: evaluate cost-effectiveness and mortality benefits of SMS over standard care in Kenya



*Bärnighausen et al. Lancet Infectious Disease 2011

HIV Decision Analytic Model

- Model of HIV natural progression
- Validated and previously published model
- Calculates lifetime HIV costs and quality adjusted life years (QALYs)

ICER =	lifetime costs	_	lifetime costs
	with intervention		with standard care
	QALY	—	QALY
	with intervention		with standard care



* Braithwaite et al. *AIDS* 2014 Keebler et al. Lancet Global Health 2014

Adherence Model Depiction





Adherence Model Depiction





Adherence Model Depiction



Key Input Parameters

Adherence Inputs	Base	Range tested	<u>Source</u>
Pre-intervention proportion highly	40%	30% to 90%	Trial Data
adherent			
Intervention Inputs			
Adherence < 90% (Relative Risk)	0.78	0.63 to 0.96	Cochrane
			Review
Annual intervention Cost	\$15	\$10 to \$20	Unpublished
(USD per person)			trial dats
Retained in care (Relative Risk)	1.69	1 to 3.23	Lester Trial



*

Horvath T et al. *Cochrane Database Systematic Review* 2012 Lester et al. *Lancet* 2010 Pop-eleches and Thirumurthy *AIDS* 2011

Estimated adherence related mortality in Kenya

• 550,000 people on ART in Kenya in 2012

• Estimated 715,000 life years lost due to suboptimal adherence

• Estimated 27,500 deaths over five years



5 year mortality reduction (%) by SMS over standard care



Cost-effectiveness of SMS over standard care



Limitations

Simplifying assumptions

• Limited comparison programs

 Model variable uncertainty due to limited data



Conclusion

- Proven SMS interventions are cost-effective
- Retention and adherence interplay
- Cost-effectiveness should be considered along with effectiveness
- Adherence increasingly important with expanded ART availability



Thank you

Questions or correspondence:

anikpatel10@gmail.com



