

#329

Choosing Prevention: The Case for Involving Users in Early Microbicide Development

Kate Morrow, PhD,

Shira Dunsiger, PhD, Joseph L Fava, PhD, Rochelle K Rosen, PhD, Sara E Vargas, PhD, Julia G Shaw, MPH, & the Project LINK Study Team

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

NIH Microbicide Innovation Program

Project LINK

PI: Morrow

NIH/NIMH R21/R33 MH80591 & CONRAD/USAID PPA-09-0223

Rochelle K Rosen, Joseph Fava, E Milu Kojic, Shira Dunsiger *The Miriam Hospital/Alpert Medical School of Brown University*

David Katz and the Biomedical Engineering Team *Duke University*

Patrick Kiser and the Bioengineering Team University of Utah

The Project LINK Study Team: SE Vargas, C Barroso, M Higgins, J Wallace, J van den Berg, L Thompson, D Bregman, C Colleran, A Panameno, JG Shaw; *FCHC team:* L Salomon, K Mayer, D Perry, L Panther, C Covahey, D Dang, M Gelman, V Frontiero

Donations of Study Products and Materials from: *CONRAD, HTI Plastics, Good for Her, Rip n Roll*



The Miriam Hospital A Lifespan Partner











GOALS

- Make the case for a user-centered approach to product development in HIV prevention
- Introduce a new element of understanding factors related to acceptability and adherence:

+ "Perceptibility"

 Provide initial data in support of integrating perceptibility into biomedical prevention technology development

THE THINKING...

- Microbicides or any biomedical prevention products need to be <u>used</u> to be effective
- Effectiveness is dependent on both biologic <u>efficacy</u> and user <u>behavior</u>
- Biologic efficacy is dependent on <u>drug(s)</u>... and <u>drug</u> <u>delivery</u> to, and retention in, target tissues
- Drug delivery with respect to vaginal and/or rectal products - is dependent on <u>rheological and other</u> <u>biophysical properties</u> of drug delivery systems
 - + Formulations: e.g., gels, films, etc

What's a "rheological or other biophysical property"?... Viscosity, yield stress at various shear rates, viscoelasticity... the "feel" and "flow" of semi-solid formulations. What <u>if</u> it turns out that formulation properties (like the ones that govern drug delivery) also govern <u>user behavior</u>...?

- * A <u>non</u>-optimized user experience will ultimately negate an optimized drug <u>and</u> its delivery (or lack thereof)
- Need to balance <u>optimization of drug delivery</u> with <u>optimization of the user experience</u>

THE EXPLORATION... PERCEPTIBILITY

- Perceptibility: The objective measurement of user sensory perceptions and experiences (USPE) of formulation and/or device characteristics and their performance during use
- Distinct from conventional "acceptability" and "tolerability"
 - + But... perhaps a precursor to both

MEASURING WHAT ...?

- Sensations of form, pressure, distortion, slip, texture, etc...:
 - + "Feel": lubricity, smooth, tacky, dry, slick, oily, sticky, wet, moist, thick/thin, liquidy, drippy, stringy... etc.
 - + <u>Pressure and Movement</u>: physical awareness, fullness, "foreign object," messiness, leakage
 - + <u>Changes in USPE over time</u>: during application/insertion, during ambulation, at initial penetration, early intercourse, end of intercourse, "average" over time

× Changes in viscosity, pressure, temperature, and feel



Kathleen M. Morrow,^{1,2} Joseph L. Fava,¹ Rochelle K. Rosen,^{1,3} Sara Vargas,^{1,2} Julia G. Shaw,¹ E. Milu Kojic,^{4,5} Patrick F. Kiser,⁶ David R. Friend,⁷ David F. Katz,⁸ and The Project LINK Study Team

PROJECT LINK: THE MAKING OF USPE SCALES



LINK FORMULATIONS

Gel Primary Composition	3% HEC		1.25% Carbopol		3% HEC; 2.5% Carbopol		2% HEC; 1.73% Carbopol			
	Undiluted	Diluted	Undiluted	Diluted	Undiluted	Diluted	Undiluted	Diluted		
Viscosity at 1s (pa-s)	96	40	250	184	1474	1079	492	287		
Residual Stress (Pa)	13	0	129	87	182	172	120	72		
Volume (mL)	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	2	2	3.5	3.5		
Area Coated at 2.5 min (cm ²)	53	67	35	35	20	20	35	36		
Area Coated at 6 min (cm²)	57	76	35	36	20	20	35	37		
*Diluted with 20% Vaginal Fluid Simulant										



FIG. 3. Perceptibility Scales for Sexual Activity.

Figure 3. Averaged scale item scores for each Perceptibility Scale for Sexual Activity. 1=do not agree at all; 2=agree a little; 3=agree somewhat; 4= agree a lot; and 5= agree completely. Primary constituents for each gel were: 3% hydroxyethylcellulose (HEC) (<u>orange</u>); 1.25% carbopol (<u>yellow</u>); 2% HEC and 1.73% carbopol (<u>purple</u>); and 3% HEC and 2.5% carbopol (<u>green</u>). Pair-wise comparisons are presented in Table 6.

USPE Profiles for Orange Gel



CHOICE OF GEL ...?

No single gel was preferred:

- × 34% chose Orange [low viscosity, low residual stress];
- × 15% chose Green [high viscosity, high residual stress];
- × 51% chose 1 of 2 gels w/more complicated profiles:
 - + Purple (26%)
 - + Yellow (25%)

So... if a specific gel isn't chosen then what is ...?

CHOICE-EXPERIENCE PATTERNS



"THE SWEET SPOT"



BETWEEN CLASS DIFFERENCES

	Class 1	Class 2	Class 3	Class 4
Age (years)	28.8 (7.5)	29.7 (8.6)	27.7 (7.9)	29.0 (7.7)
Race (% Caucasian)	57.1%	42.6%	50.0%	65.2%
Ethnicity (% Non- Hispanic/Non-Latino)	82.1%	83.6%	84.8%	88.4%
Income (%>36k)	25.0%	25.0%	24.4%	34.8%
Vaginal Deliveries (% None)	64.3%	62.3%	71.7%	69.6%
Current Use of Hormonal Contraceptive (%)	28.6%	27.9%	43.5%	37.7%
History of STD Infection	21.4%	20.0%	17.8%	18.8%

*p<0.05 for between-class difference

... neither sociodemographics nor behavioral history differentiated classes of choice-experience patterns

WHAT DO USERS WANT?

- **x** There is no one answer
- The scale most correlated with class is Initial Penetration.
 - + If all the scales are put into the model to predict class, the most associated is **Initial Penetration**: thus Initial Penetration, in this parameter space, is <u>most predictive of choice experience</u>.
 - + The next two most correlated with class (which is determined by choice product) are Intravaginal Awareness and Perceived Wetness
- **x** What does this mean? (educated guess)
 - + It's important that a product provide the "best" initial penetration experience (whichever way they like it); that their awareness of it conveys the "right" message; and that they feel as much like "normal" as possible

CONSIDERATIONS...

- The LINK data only applies to a gel parameter space - and a limited one at that
 - + We are currently conducting analyses for vaginal <u>films</u> and <u>suppositories</u>
 - + We have not yet psychometrically validated similar USPE constructs for <u>intravaginal ring use</u>

CONCLUSION-1

- Microbicide effectiveness is predicated on optimal drug delivery AND optimal use adherence.
- Both drug delivery (and resulting efficacy) and use are impacted by rheological and other biophysical properties of gel formulations.
- Clinical trials have been challenged by low adherence, obviating proof of concept.

CONCLUSION-2

- If we can understand the <u>correspondence</u> between <u>product properties</u> and the <u>user</u> <u>experience</u> elicited by those properties, we can:
 - + Develop products that meet a defined set of parameters most likely to "hit the sweet spot"
 - + Develop <u>educational materials or behavioral</u> <u>interventions</u> that help users "cope" with use sensations and experiences that cannot be changed due to their impact on efficacy
 - + Make products people will use, increasing the likelihood of improved adherence and impact on HIV incidence.

THANK YOU!!

KMORROW@LIFESPAN.ORG